

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/520,164	03/07/2000	Shigetaka Kuroda	000255	9586	
23850	7590 01/30/2002				
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP			EXAMINER		
SUITE 1000				CUEVAS, PEDRO J	
WASHINGIC	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834	2834	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	09/520,164	KURODA ET AL.					
-	and a touch Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit					
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Pedro J. Cuevas	2834					
- [The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 De	909mhar 2004						
	2a) This setter to present	s action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
1	Disposition of Claims		03 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3/1</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3/2</u> is/are objected to.								
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
A	application Papers	•						
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) he hold in chause and a second								
The proposed drawing correction filed on 10 December 2001 is: a) approved by disconveyed by								
	reply	to this Office action	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	— Totaling depicts of the priority documents ha	ave been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Bulg 17.2(a)). 							
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	he certified copies not received						
1	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e) (f	0 a provisional application	,				
	- The diditional of the foleral language provisi	Opoloppiis-4:		1.				
Atta	15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic prachment(s)	iority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 an	d/or 121.					
_	Notice of References Cited (PTO-802)	[-7						
2) [3) [Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .	4) Interview Summary (PT 5) Notice of Informal Pater 6) Other:	O-413) Paper No(s) nt Application (PTO-152)					
S. Pa	tent and Trademark Office 326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No. 9					



Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Drawings

1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on December 10, 2001. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by European Patent Application No. 0 072 997 A2 to Katayose et al.

Katayose et al. clearly teaches the construction of an engine control apparatus comprising:

a brake booster (600);

pressure detectors (610, 650);

a throttle-opening-state detector (10); and

an engine-operation enable/disable determining device (41).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/520,164

Art Unit: 2834

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 3/1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent Application No. 0 072 997 A2 to Katayose et al.

Katayose et al. discloses an engine control apparatus as described above.

It should be emphasized that "apparatus claims must be structurally distinguishable from the prior art." MPEP 2114. In re Danly, 263 F. 2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959) it was held that apparatus claims must be distinguished from prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In Hewlett-Packard Co v Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990), the court held that: "Apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what it does." (emphases in original). To emphasize the point further, the court added: "An invention need not operate differently than the prior art to be patentable, but need only be different" (emphases in original). That is, in an apparatus claim, if a prior art structure discloses all of the structural elements in the claim, as well as their relative juxtaposition, then it reads on the claim, regardless of whether or not the function for which the prior art structure was intended is the same as that of the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2 & 3/2 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/520,164

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach:

an engine control system, wherein said engine-operation enable/disable determining device that:

permits said engine to operate when said throttle opening state is other than completely closed;

causes said engine to stop when said throttle opening state is completely closed and said pressure detected by said pressure detector is equal to or lower than a predetermined negative pressure which is equal to or lower than an atmospheric pressure; and

permits said engine to operate when said throttle opening state is completely closed and said pressure detected by said pressure detector is closer to the atmospheric pressure than the predetermined negative pressure, which is equal to or lower than the atmospheric pressure.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro J. Cuevas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Néstor R. Ramírez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for

Application/Control Number: 09/520,164

Art Unit: 2834

Page 5

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Pedro J. Cuevas January 28, 2002

ELITHER DOUBLE